

Exposing Sujit Das and His Blasphemous book against the Prophet of Islam (PBUH)

Fasihuddin (PSP) in his coloumn Sujit Das ke Napak Harzasarai (Sujit Das's unholy rubbish) published in Daily Aaj, Peshawar on Friday, March 30th, 2012, has critically evaluated the blasphemous book of Sujit Das (Bombay, India), and has beautifully exposed his venomous character, virulent fallacies, poisonous derivations and out of context narrations and self-styled explanations of many basic and authentic facts about the Holy Prophet of Islam (Peace Be Upon Him). Fasihuddin says that it is a very common propaganda technique of non-muslims or pseudo free-thinkers of the muslim world in the modern days to malign Islam, its Holy Book and the Messenger of Allah, Hazrat Muhammad (Peace Be Upon Him), for their petty worldly gains, cheap popularity and ulterior personal motives like cash, publicity, visas, asylum, etc in the western world. The beguiled commentators and perverted writers feel joyous over triggering violent responses from the simple, uneducated and honest muslim society. Resultantly, these pseudo free-thinkers further capitalize on such uncontrollable demonstrations and a vicious circle of radicalization starts moving round and round. This is how the vested interests further their sinister motives and ensure their mundane gains by creating more and more cleavages in the west and the muslim world and widening the gap already existing between the two. Fasihuddin has clearly identified the basic flaws and incoherence in the highly provocative account of Sujit Das, the Dirty (as he names him for Sujit feels no compunctions in uttering very low, mean and base words for the most sacred and holy personality of Islam and all religions, the Prophet Muhammad, Peace Be Upon Him). The writer in a cool, passionate way advises the muslims not to over-react to such blasphemous writings in shape of violent processions, instead they should try to tackle them in an analytical, critical and academic way, and expose their hidden meanness, intellectual dishonesty and superficial understanding of the true and sophisticated Islamic code of beliefs and the essence of its legal system. This superficiality, coupled with malicious ignorance, dishonesty of intention and personal greed, results in such undesirable, un-warranted and baseless products as that of Sujit Das, the Cursed (only a cursed person can use an abusive language against the holy personalities and prophets). Look at the name of his book: "Unmasking Muhammad, the Malignant Narcissist and His Grand Delusion Allah". When

the title is so malignant and full of venom and hatred, then what one can expect of its contents to be judged on a scholarly and value-free touchstone. Fasihuddin points at a very important fact about some other religions by quoting the Noble Prize Winner poet Rabindranath Tagore who said, in 1924, that “the real cause of the failure of Brihmo Samaj was that it lacked a dynamic personality and a practical demonstration behind it”. Islam has a very practical personality behind its teachings and tenets in the shape of its Holy Prophet (Peace Be Upon Him). Lets see a few fallacies in the account of Sujid Das’ blasphemous account:

1. Sujit says, “Anyone who claims to be a prophet must be prepared to have his prophesy tested”. Fasihuddin says: “Didn’t the Prophet of Mercy prove his claim in front of his diehard opponents when he announced his prophethood? Why people believed in him then, and why more than 1.3 billion people even today can offer their lives for his respect, dignity and prophethood? Were those people mad and lunatics then, and are crazy today? What is Sujit’s standards for testing and what is its authenticity, validity and universality?”
2. Sujit Says: “There are enough pious and totally un-objective traditions of Muhammad preserved by the Muslim religious community, but what is lacking in these sources is honesty”: Fasihiuddin says: “What about the writings of hundreds of orientalist scholars like AR Nicholson, A R Gibb, TW Arnold, Prof. Phillip K. Hitti, Prof. Montgomery Watt, and many more who wrote very high sounding words in praise of the Holy Prophet and the Holy Book? What is Sujit’s criteria for honesty? How can he prove the content and quantity of ‘honesty’ in his own blasphemous book? Lets see his “honesty”. Sujit quotes different rivals of Islam, even of the early muslim era, the opponents in Mecca and Madina at the time of Prophet’s advent, and Sujit firmly believes in all such false accusations with no “testing of honesty” and pretends to have the power of describing all such chronic animosities as a true account! Sujit, like many others, are not ignorant of the fact that even the worst enemies of the Holy Prophet (PBHM) used to call him Sadiq (the truthful) and Ameen (the trustworthy), and they used to keep with him their belongings in case of long absence from the village. History is full of such testimony.

3. Sujit casts his sinister aspersions on the first revelation as: “This Divine confrontation was less heavenly and more demonic”. An encounter which happened in a cave for the first time, and unexpectedly, and surely having its awesome spiritual effects, and which later proved to be the starting point of the complete revelation is ‘less heavenly’ and ‘more demonic’ in Sujit’s account. How he differentiates between the spiritual and demonic aspect of an event? With what authority and standard he can say and measure the two portion of being less and more in one event? A man who has no experience in a heavenly incidence or in a spiritual encounter, how can he realize one from the other?
4. Sujit Says: “Muhammad gave no solid proof of his prophethood. He simply claimed to the title of Prophet of Allah....How can we be sure that Muhammad didn’t lie?” Fasihuddin says that what is the criteria of a solid proof? When people asked him for proof, didn’t Prophet Muhammad (PBUH) present anything? Was he not tested by the excellent and wise brains of his time? Didn’t they test him for more than 23 years of his Prophethood? Were all those believers dump and dupe? Sujit has shown utter ignorance and childish reasoning for proving a case on empirical basis or historical and verifiable proofs. How can we be sure that Sujit is not lying?
5. About the Prophet’s Companions Sujit says with brazen face: “Those early companions of Muhammad not only lost their property and self-respect but the lives of their children, relatives, even their own lives. At the end of the day they returned with empty hands, disappointed and disillusioned. All of them were pathetic losers. Almost all of them died a dog’s death” (Page 208). For the rebuttal and denial of Sujit, the Cursed, this one note is enough. Who doesn’t know that what was the socio-political conditions of pre-Islamic and pagan Arabia and what made them rulers, scholars, writers, scientists, linguistic experts, administrators, military and political leaders and conquerors? Even the virulent account of the most prejudiced of the orientatists have admired this humanizing and civilizational force of Islam and its Messenger, the Holy Prophet (PBUH) despite the fact that they don’t accept of Islam, and Sujit, the Cursed, has not an idea of any of such marvelous achievements of the Companions and their followers in the initial centuries of Islam. Fasihuddin has advised the

muslims to read authentic scholars on Islam and teach their children the true muslim literature in the current age of anti-Islamic propaganda. He also advises the west not to allow, propagate or protect such sinister and malicious writings and their authors as it damages the efforts of inter-faith dialogue and a joint struggle for solving the problems of humanity and reducing its sufferings.

6. While quoting the great Poet Allama Iqbal that the muslims are in a poor conditions due to their ignorance of the Quran and not properly following the Prophet (PBUH), Sujit says: “If Iqbal is true, then how the infidels have prospered? We, the non-muslims don’t practice Islam at all. In fact some of us even oppose Islam. Then how the infidel countries are better than Muslim countries that at least practice a bit? If the Quran is full of science, then why the Islamic countries are most backward?”

Sujit’s account and analogy is full of his superficial knowledge about Islam, Quran, human civilization and the Rise and Fall of dynasties and empires. Iqbal’s poetic expression is not untrue when he says that the early muslims felt and understood the right meaning and message of the Holy Book and became the rulers of the major part of the world of that time. Iqbal has done a copious poetry and he clearly identifies the importance of science and technology for human progress and political dominance. His one part explains the other and shall not be read out of context. Quran is not a book of science but a book of guidance, salvation, enlightenment, moral purification and high ethics and accepted norms for leading a peaceful and productive life. Quran mentions certain guidelines to explore the hidden human faculties and natural resources and exhorts to unearth the forces and energies in the universe, by inviting the human intellect to think about the potential dividends in the globe and universe for further creation and betterment of human life. Quran doesn’t provide scientific formulae but a scientific outlook and sets on triggering a creative vision and analytical thinking in an able-minded person. Sujit’s poor knowledge is full of misleading misgivings about Islam and Quran. Unfortunately, the tender, unaware and easily impressionable minds of the young muslims are unnecessarily polluted and a dis-trust is created amongst the

various communities of the world by these atheists and ‘employed’ writers like Sujit Das and others. Not only the Muslims but also the true academics of other religions should disown and ignore these rubbish and blasphemous writings.

Corrigendum: In the original column a saying of Tabari is quoted. This is not from Sujit’s book but from another atheist and blasphemous writer, Abdul Kasem (Bengali) who too has written enormous baseless, superficial and extremely un-warranted things about the Holy Prophet (PBUH) and the Quran, in the name of free-speech and research, whereas his account of ‘Contradictions in the Quran’ or ‘Muhammad Violated the Quran’ are full of fallacies, inaccuracies, out of context explanations and twisting meanings—all indicative of his poor knowledge of the Quran, Arabic and Islamic teachings. Never in the history, even the diehard anti-Islam orientalist in the past had leveled such abusive, immoral, irrational and unreasonable charges against the Holy Prophet (PBUH) as has been done in this malignant book of Sujit Das, the Cursed. Fasihuddin’s next column will be exposing the lopsided accounts of Abdul Kasem Bangali.

Contact: The article can be found on <http://www.dailyaaj.com.pk/epaper-detail.php?image=NTE3NTg=>

(Fasihuddin (PSP) is the President of Pakistan Society of Criminology and can be reached at fasih68@hotmail.com